

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,295	07/28/2003	Paul H. Mazurkiewicz	10011011-2 5373		
7590 09/19/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NGO, HUNG V		
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration 0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2831		
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/628,295	MAZURKIEWICZ, PAU	MAZURKIEWICZ, PAUL H.		
Examiner	Art Unit	·		
Hung V. Ngo	2831			

	Hung V. Ngo	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the second control of the co	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	<b>,</b> ,		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. , ,	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		····piiaiti / iiiioitaitioiti (	. 102 02 1/1.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>76-85 and 95-98</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>47, 49-66, 88, 89, 91-94</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>45,46,48,68,69,86 and 87</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	` ' '	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but			ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.	H	W V NO	20
		HUNG V. NO PRIMARY EXA	<b>30</b>
•			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

' Continuation of 3. NOTE: the changes to lines 4 & 6 of claim 45 and line 6 of claim 86 have not previously considered.